



# The University of Maryland's Kazakh-English Neural Machine Translation System at WMT2019

Eleftheria Briakou and Marine Carpuat  
University of Maryland

## INTRODUCTION

### ◆ Shared Task

- ◆ News translation task
- ◆ Kazakh → English
- ◆ Low-resource MT

### ◆ Submission Overview

- ◆ Joint-BPEs [1]
- ◆ Transfer learning [2]
- ◆ Back-Translation [3]
- ◆ Ensemble

## APPROACH

- ◆ Baseline model: NMT trained only on Kazakh-English parallel data
- ◆ Train on additional data

### ▶ Parallel from Transfer Learning

Parent language: Turkish → low-resource but related language  
Child language: Kazakh

### ▶ Synthetic from Back-translation

Encoding	Original	Romanized		
Word	molekül	молекула	molekuel	molekula
BPEs	mol_ek_ül	МОЛ_ЕК_УЛ_а	mol_ek_uel	mol_ek_ula
Word	fosfor	фосфор	fosfor	fosfor
BPEs	fos_for	Ф_ОС_ФОР	fos_for	fos_for

lexical relatedness;  
different scripts



## EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

- ① different lexical representations; maximize parameter sharing across languages
  - ◆ Joint-Byte Pair Encoding learned on concatenation of child-parent (JBPEs) [4]
  - ◆ Separate-Byte Pair Encoding learned separately on child-parent (SBPEs) [4]
  - ◆ N-gam Encoding (Soft-Decoupled Encoding and variants) [5]
- ② romanization; increase overlap between Turkish and Kazakh
- ③ synthetic training data obtained by back-translation

## EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

### Neural Model

- ▶ Seq2Seq Encoder-Decoder
- ▶ 1-layer LSTM with attention

### Data

#### Parallel:

- ▶ Kazakh-English: ~100K from News Commentary Corpus and English-Kazakh crawled corpus
- ▶ Turkish-English: ~200K from Setimes2 Corpus

#### Monolingual:

- ▶ English: ~100K from News Commentary corpus

### Preprocessing

- ▶ Tokenization and Truecasing
- ▶ 32K merge operations for BPEs
- ▶ 64K 5-grams for N-gram encoding

## RESULTS

Method	Romanization	Overlap
JBPEs	✓	0.44
	✗	0.13
SBPEs	✓	0.18
	✗	0.04
N-gram	✓	0.61

Method	Original	Romanized
Baseline	4.33 ± 0.16	4.49 ± 0.02
JBPEs	9.35 ± 0.10	9.89 ± 0.14
SBPEs	7.10 ± 0.26	9.70 ± 0.28
N-gram	—	9.17 ± 0.21

Method	Synthetic	BLEU
Transfer		9.89
+ Back-Translation	✓	9.38
+ ensemble(4)	✓	9.94

Submitted System



## REFERENCES

- [1] Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. In ACL, 2016.
- [2] Barret Zoph, Deniz Yuret, Jonathan May, and Kevin Knight. 2016. Transfer learning for low-resource neural machine translation. In EMNLP, 2016.
- [3] Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. 2016. Improving neural machine translation models with monolingual data. In ACL.
- [4] Graham Neubig and Junjie Hu. 2018. Rapid adaptation of neural machine translation to new languages. In EMNLP, 2018.
- [5] Xinyi Wang, Hieu Pham, Philip Arthur, and Graham Neubig. 2019. Multilingual neural machine translation with soft decoupled encoding. In ICLR, 2019.

## CONCLUSIONS

- ① transfer learning benefits BLEU even when transferring from low-resource and related language
- ② best BLEU → maximum surface-level parameter sharing between child-parent language pairs
- ③ NMT sensitive to the quality of the back-translation